

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/867,973	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROHRBACH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter A. Hruskoci	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Mary E. Golata.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art Rejections as stated in the Final Rejection dated 8/25/06*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 3, 6, 9, and 15 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 9 line 14 through page 11 line 4 of the instant specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)